

Remote Sensing of Volcanic Ash: Radar, Lidar and Ceilometer Activities at IMO

Þórður Arason and Sibylle von Löwis

Veðurstofa Íslands Icelandic Meteorological Office, Reykjavík, Iceland

Remote Sensing of Volcanic Ash Workshop, Manchester, England, 3 February 2015

Fixed Position C-band Radars





Fljótsdalsheiði E-Iceland C-band radar. Photo Geirfinnur S. Sigurðsson 8 October 2012



Keflavík SW-Iceland C-band radar. Photo Þórður Arason 9 August 2011

Two Mobile X-band Radars





Specially adapted truck to take mobile radar off road. Photo Geirfinnur S. Sigurðsson 25 September 2012

> Mobile radar installed with clear view over Bárðarbunga before the eruption. Photo Þorgils Ingvarsson 22 August 2014



Operational Status



- One of our mobile radars had for a while power generator problems. It automatically shut down after about 1 day of continous operation indicating generator overheating and/or low oil pressure. Neither is true and this has been diagnosed as a sensor/computer problem, which has now been fixed.
- Currently one mobile radar is in Reykjavík for calibration.
 Will soon be moved to Vatnsfell, 85 km from Bárðarbunga.

• Currently all four radars are believed to be in good health



Bárðarbunga – Holuhraun





Elevation measured with a flight radar and thickness of Holuhraun lava (21 January 2015). Total volume 1.4 km³. From: jardvis.hi.is



The fissure eruption and juvenile steam and gas plume. Photo Halldór Björnsson IMO 1 September 2014.

Python – Open Source Software



IMO is planning to install and use Python open source radar software for our radar data analysis. This is not operational.

 Wradlib (wradlib.bitbucket.org): An Open Source Library for Weather Radar Data Processing



Baltrad (baltrad.eu) baltrad





Lidar and ceilometer measurements at IMO

LIDAR



Keflavík Airport



Reykjavík



Outlook

- co-locate to the NCAS lidar,
 ceilometer and the X-band radar (Hotel Laki, SE-Iceland)
- Real-time data

 analysis and
 plotting, assistance
 FMI (Ewan
 O'Connor)

- Two Leosphere
 Windcube 200S
 Doppler lidar with
 - depolarisation channel
- SAT August 2014, semi-operational
- No data analysis
- Depol-function not working on one system
- One system installed at Keflavík airport
- The other one will become mobile on a trailer in 2015



RE (1.4.1.4702) WL9200# 20 (102.160.1.75)

LIDAR – screenshots

🕖 LEOSPHERE

D

200

2.000

1.000

-

80

-

5

🕖 Leosphere

(DD

2016/10/27 14:44:30

Icelandic Met Office

6.000

0,2



Ceilometer network





IMO (CL31)

- E Eyrarbakki
- H Hjarðarland
- Ö Önundarhorn
- K Kirkjubæjarklaustur
- BIKR Sauðárkrókur

ISAVIA (CL31, CL51, CT25K)

- BIKF Keflavík International Airport BIRK – Reykjavík BIIS – Isafjörður BIAR – Akureyri BIEG – Egilsstaðir
- BIVM Vestmannaeyjar

Ceilometer quicklook webpage



Icelandic Met

Office

Ceilometer quicklook webpage 2



Sauðárkrókur -

29-Jan-2015 - M +M f dag



Agnasjár og skýjahæðamælar



Veður síðasta sólarhring: Sauðárkrókur





Validation

Grímsvötn 2004 Sampling of ash deposits and estimates of ground mass loading (kg/m²)



Oddsson, B. (2007), The Grímsvötn eruption in 2004: Dispersal and total mass of tephra and comparison with plume transport models, MS Thesis, Univ. Iceland.

Icelandic Met

Office

Grímsvötn 2004 Single polarization C-band radar data **VARR-models**





Mass georeferenced distribution [kg/m²] using VARR_{CL}



Mass georeferenced distribution [kg/m²] using VARR_{CLS}





Mass georeferenced distribution [kg/m²] using VARR_{CLA}



Mass georeferenced distribution [kg/m²] using VARR_{CLS},





VARR_{CL} vs samples; p=0.54995 RMSE=23



50

100

Ground observations [kg/m²]

150

100

50







Marzano, F. S., M. Lamantea, M. Montopoli, B. Oddsson & M. T. Guðmundsson (2012), Validating subglacial volcanic eruption using ground-based C-band radar imagery, IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing, **50**(4), 1266-1282.

Is there a correlation? i.e. bewteen mass loading of ground observations and the VARR-model?



Variance of the ground observations (i.e. for $>2 \text{ kg/m}^2$) about the mean is lower than the variance of the difference between the model and observations. This is true for both the linear and logarithmic viewpoints.

The model does not explain any of the variability in the observations about their mean!



Icelandic Met

Office

r=0.005

RMS=27

100 random(x,y):



Additional observational data sets are needed for validation



Types of Grains

Variations in Physical Properties



Volcanic ash

- Grain shape
- Water coated ash
- Ice coated ash
- Ash-infused hail
- Water drops
- o Ice
- Hail Graupel

The Radar Equation

$$\frac{P_r}{P_t} = \left\{ \frac{\pi^3 c \ \tau \ G^2 \varphi \ \theta}{1024 \ \ln 2 \ \lambda^2 \ r^2} \right\} \ |k|^2 N \ D^6$$
$$|k|^2_{ice} = 0.197, \qquad |k|^2_{ash} = 0.39, \qquad |k|^2_{water} = 0.93$$

Eyjafjallajökull eruption April 2010

Plume lightning seen from a distance of 72 km Notice the characteristic fibrous anvil shape of the plume top

Photo Þórður Arason 17 April 2010 at 04:47:09

Ash-infused hail – Eyjafjallajökull 22 April 2010



3

Ash-infused hail on the glacier about 5 km east of the Eyjafjallajökull crater Photo Thor Thordarson 22 April 2010



Photo Bolli Valgarðsson 21 May 2011 at 19:20

3 km from Grímsvötn crater



Photo Þórður Arason 11 June 2011

Scale: 1 mm between ticks

Grímsvötn 2011 – Hagl-02 Macro-photo Þórður Arason 11 June 2011



Grímsvötn 2011 – Hagl-01 Macro-photo Þórður Arason 11 June 2011

Radar detection of ash vs. ash-infused hail





Ash grains embedded into much larger hail, lead to stronger received radar signal.

On the ground we may observe the fine ash grain size distribution after the hail has melted.

Mass concentration in the plume, assuming the observed ash grain size distribution, may be overestimated by a factor of 10-100.